

Imperial Morality, Gendered Subjugation, and the Rhetoric of Pax Britannica: Deconstructing the 'Woman Question' in Colonial India

Dr. Haripriya M, Assistant Professor, Department of History, N.S.S. College Manjeri, Malappuram, Kerala, 676122

Abstract

This paper examines the ideological intersection of cultural colonialism, gender discourse, and imperial legitimacy within the British Raj. Drawing upon the dialectics of moral idealism and political expediency, it analyses how the 'Woman Question' was instrumentalized by Evangelical, Utilitarian, and Liberal factions to construct a narrative of British moral supremacy and Indian civilizational bankruptcy. Through an investigation of the theoretical frameworks advanced by figures such as Charles Grant and James Mill, the study illustrates how the subjection of Indian women was integrated into the paternalistic construct of the mission civilisatrice (the civilizing mission) to justify indefinite imperial rule. Furthermore, this paper exposes the structural ambivalences and internal contradictions of colonial state interventions. It demonstrates that while the Raj enacted selective social legislation to signal a liberalizing impulse, its broader project of legal codification, the introduction of the Restitution of Conjugal Rights, and the institutionalization of state-regulated prostitution via the Indian Contagious Diseases Act of 1868 frequently intensified gendered vulnerabilities, subordinating indigenous women to the overarching demands of imperial hegemony and military preservation.

Keywords: Cultural Colonialism, The Woman Question, Ideological Domination, Evangelicalism, Utilitarianism, Legal Codification, Indian Contagious Diseases Act.

1. Introduction: The Dialectics of Moral Hegemony

Military power, while indispensable to the initial phases of territorial conquest, is fundamentally structurally insufficient for the long-term stabilization and perpetuation of imperial systems. To cement the bond between the victors and the vanquished, imperial regimes must necessarily transcend raw coercion and manufacture a system of cultural hegemony that fosters an acute awareness of the ruler's civilizational supremacy among the subject population. In the context of the British Raj, this took the form of a complex, self-replicating framework of moral idealism that masked the material realities of political and commercial aggrandizement. As Jawaharlal Nehru astutely observed, the psychology of an imperial race naturally breeds a profound conviction that "all is for the best in this best of all

possible worlds," reducing indigenous agitation for structural change to the status of self-seeking or deluded ingratitude.

This ideological apparatus constitutes the core of cultural colonialism. Empires do not merely extract economic surplus; they systematically deconstruct and reassemble the psychic and social realities of the colonized. To extinguish the capacity for rebellion, the British Raj sought to instill a fatalistic acceptance of the ethical bankruptcy of India's politico-legal institutions, the degrading inequity of its social hierarchies, and the complete vacuousness of its cultural heritage.

Crucial to this civilizational assessment was the strategic deployment of the 'Woman Question.' By aligning the perceived "miserable status" of Indian women with the core metrics of societal advancement, the British established an effective rhetorical tool: the moral inferiority of the subject population could be simultaneously demonstrated and tied to the paternalistic duty of the imperial master. The 'Woman Question' was thus absorbed into the basic framework of Rudyard Kipling's "White Man's Burden," providing a deceptive justification for the presence and perpetuation of colonial rule in the Indian subcontinent.

2. Theoretical Contours of Cultural Colonialism

The operational mechanics of British imperialism underwent a significant shift during the late eighteenth and early nineteenth centuries. The initial phase of territorial acquisition, dominated by the mercantile operations of the East India Company, was largely overseen by an aristocratic class unconcerned with universalizing projects of social engineering or moral transformation. However, in the wake of Warren Hastings' high-profile impeachment trial and England's domestic evangelical revival, the governance of India was abruptly thrust into popular public and political discourse.

What had originated as a purely commercial venture was systematically recast into a providential mandate. Imperial scholars like J.A. Hobson have noted that the entire policy of imperialism is riddled with a structural falsification of motives, wherein the real drivers—geopolitical dominance and resource extraction—are hidden behind alleged benefits bestowed upon the native population. Colonialism, at its core, operated as a profound psychological state designed to alter the self-perception of both the ruler and the ruled.

To establish this psychological edge, British administrative ideology relied heavily on a gendered hierarchy. By establishing the premise that the social status of women directly corresponded to a nation's position on the linear scale of civilization, the British constructed an ongoing framework for ideological domination. Indigenous social evils became the primary evidence utilized by diverse ideological factions within British intellectual life—most notably the Evangelicals, Utilitarians, and Liberals—to validate their civilizing mission.

3. The Evangelical Mandate: Charles Grant and the Rhetoric of 'Degraded Darkness'

The foundational negative depictions of Indian society were largely generated by Evangelical missionary circles, who viewed the subcontinent as a space of "degraded darkness" requiring the transformative intervention of Christian theology. Although the Raj officially maintained a policy of religious non-interference to prevent political instability, Evangelical ideas deeply influenced administrative logic.

The primary intellectual architect of this school was Charles Grant, an influential Director of the East India Company who had served extensively in Bengal under Hastings and Cornwallis. In his influential 1792 tract, *Observations on the State of Society among the Asiatic Subjects of Great Britain*, Grant outlined a comprehensive ideological blueprint that sought to anchor the stability of the Empire within an Evangelical framework. Grant argued that the defining characteristic of the Hindu population was an "abandoned selfishness" completely unchecked by ethical principles, leading to widespread social discord and moral decay.

Within this framework, Grant positioned Indian women as the ultimate victims of an unprincipled society: "Held in slavish subjection by the men, they rise in furious passions against each other, which vent themselves in such bold, virulent and indecent railings as are hardly to be heard in any other part of the world... Doomed to a life of servitude and self-imprisonment and a violent and premature death."

For Grant, the solution to this civilizational deficit did not lie in political self-determination, but in the thorough injection of Western knowledge, language, and Christianity. He explicitly argued that by planting British culture and religion within the Asiatic territories, the native population would become durably bound to the metropole.

Critically, Grant dismissed any concerns that religious conversion and intellectual enlightenment would prompt demands for self-governance. He asserted that Christianity views politics through the stabilizing lens of morals, thereby encouraging submissiveness to established authority. Evangelical social critique was thus inherently conservative: it weaponized the plight of Indian women to build a moral foundation for permanent British rule.

4. The Utilitarian Critique: James Mill and the Rationalization of Subjugation

While the Evangelicals approached the 'Woman Question' through the lens of providential duty, the Radicals and Utilitarians arrived at identical conclusions using the language of rationalism, legislative utility, and institutional efficiency. Heavily influenced by Jeremy Bentham's doctrine of utility, this intellectual faction viewed the colonized subcontinent as an

ideal social laboratory—a "sociological guinea pig" where abstract theories of sweeping legal reform could be tested without the democratic constraints present in metropolitan Britain.

The definitive text of this intellectual movement was James Mill's monumental six-volume History of British India, published in 1818. Despite never visiting the subcontinent or possessing fluency in any indigenous languages, Mill's text became the dominant intellectual framework for generations of British administrators. It served as the central textbook at Haileybury College, where the East India Company's civil service recruits were trained, directly shaping administrative policy for over half a century.

Mill established an explicit, pseudoscientific link between a nation's civilization level and its treatment of women, noting that "among rude people, the women are generally disregarded; among civilized people they are exalted." Applying this metric to the subcontinent, Mill asserted:

"In truth, the Hindu like the Eunuch, excels in the qualities of a slave... Nothing can exceed the habitual contempt which the Hindus entertain for their women... A state of dependence more strict or humiliating than that which is ordained for the weaker sex among the Hindus cannot easily be conceived."

Through this rhetoric, Mill transformed the Utilitarian critique into a powerful argument for imperial rule. By depicting the indigenous population as fundamentally lacking "manly" civilizational virtues and treating women with institutionalized contempt, Mill argued that the British had an ongoing moral obligation to govern an otherwise un-warlike and effeminate people.

5. Legislative Interventions and the Fabrication of the Liberal State

To substantiate their claims of moral stewardship, the apologists of the Raj frequently pointed to a series of high-profile statutory interventions aimed at dismantling traditional social abuses. Between 1795 and 1929, the colonial state enacted several major pieces of legislation addressing aspects of the 'Woman Question':

Statute / Policy Initiative	Year of Enactment	Primary Objectives and Structural Scope
Infanticide Prohibition Acts	1795, 1804, 1870	Criminalization of female infanticide across targeted administrative zones
Sati Abolition Regulation	1829	Prohibition of widow burning; enacted under Lord William Bentinck
Hindu Widows' Remarriage Act	1856	Legalization of widow remarriage and regularizing of inheritance rights

Age of Consent Act	1860 / 1891	Fixed the age of consent for consummation initially at 10, raised to 12 in 1891
Child Marriage Restraint Act	1929	Penalization of marriages involving minors; also known as the Sarda Act

While colonial historiography framed these enactments as evidence of an enlightened, liberalizing state, historical analysis reveals a highly ambivalent reality. In almost every instance, British officials were deeply reluctant to act. They were often prodded into legislation by forward-thinking indigenous reformers, such as Raja Rammohan Roy regarding the abolition of sati, and Ishwar Chandra Vidyasagar regarding the legal protection of widow remarriage.

Fearing major political backlash and unrest, the colonial state consistently dragged its feet, executing reforms only when indigenous support was robust enough to minimize risks to imperial stability. Consequently, these legal interventions followed rather than led indigenous social reform initiatives, even as the Raj claimed sole credit for these moral advancements to justify its continued presence.

6. The Contradictions of Codification: Brahminization and the Loss of Customary Rights

The true impact of British legal intervention becomes clear when examining the broader project of codification. The effort to bring the diverse, fluid legal landscape of the subcontinent into conformity with Western jurisprudential models often compromised the legal position of women, particularly those outside high-caste communities.

Traditional Legal Landscape	Colonial Anglo-Hindu Law
Brahminical Castes Strict Shastric law, low autonomy.	Universal Standardized Code
Non-Brahminical / Lower Castes Customary laws permitting divorce, remarriage, and property ownership	Brahminical restrictions universally applied to ALL Hindu women. Loss of fluid customary autonomy.

Prior to British intervention, the vast majority of Hindu law—excluding specific Brahminical texts—was unwritten, locally fluid, and rooted in regional, caste, and lineage customs. These traditions varied significantly over time and geography. In 1772, Governor-General Warren Hastings decreed that written Brahminical shastras would serve as the singular legal authority for all Hindus. This decision structurally transformed the legal reality of the subcontinent.

By imposing high-caste Brahminical law uniformly across all social strata, the colonial state extended rigid patriarchal restrictions to lower-caste and subaltern women who had historically enjoyed far greater flexibility under customary law. Brahminical jurisprudence enforced strict limitations to maintain caste purity and control property: it prohibited divorce, banned the remarriage of widows, and blocked women from direct land ownership.

In contrast, non-Brahminical customary laws frequently allowed for divorce, remarriage, and independent economic activity. The state's drive for uniform legibility effectively locked subaltern women into a rigid patriarchal system, dismantling the localized customary protections they had previously relied upon.

7. Institutionalizing Matrimonial Coercion: The Restitution of Conjugal Rights

The integration of Western legal mechanisms also introduced direct state-enforced coercion into the domestic sphere, most visibly through the introduction of the legal remedy for the Restitution of Conjugal Rights. Borrowed directly from English ecclesiastical law, this provision allowed a spouse to sue their partner for refusing to fulfill marital and sexual obligations. Non-compliance was met with severe penalties, including imprisonment.

The deep violence of this legal transplant was exposed in the landmark 1885 case of Rukhmabai. Married as a child to an illiterate man, Rukhmabai lived apart from him for years while pursuing a formal Western education. At age nineteen, she flatly refused to consummate the marriage or cohabit with her husband, citing their deep intellectual and cultural incompatibility. Her husband filed for the restitution of conjugal rights under British law.

The Rukhmabai Crisis & Matrimonial Entrapment (1885)

Traditional Customary Exit --> Return to parental home;
informal marital dissolution.

VS.

Colonial Statutory Injunction --> Court ordered cohabitation OR
mandatory imprisonment.

Before the introduction of this statutory remedy, women trapped in abusive or unwanted marriages could utilize localized customary exits, such as returning permanently to their parental home. The institutionalization of the Restitution of Conjugal Rights closed this avenue of escape. It deployed the punitive power of the colonial prison to enforce marital compliance, linking women's physical autonomy directly to male authority.

8. State-Licensed Exploitation: The Indian Contagious Diseases Act of 1868

The deep hypocrisy of the Raj's rhetoric surrounding the "protection" of Indian women is clearly demonstrated by its regulation of prostitution near military cantons. To preserve the health and efficiency of British troops, military authorities operated a highly organized, state-subsidized system of licensed prostitution. This framework was codified through the Indian Contagious Diseases Act of 1868.

This legislation mandated the systematic registration, routine medical inspection, and forced confinement of indigenous sex workers in specialized lock-hospitals if they were suspected of carrying venereal infections. In contrast, the military command routinely rejected public health proposals that focused on modifying the behavior of British soldiers or restricting their access to sex workers, arguing that such measures would lead to "unnatural crimes" among the ranks.

The state treated indigenous women working as prostitutes near military bases as expandable commodities, intentionally using them to protect the health of British troops. The colonial authorities built a regulated system designed to ensure "sinning with safety" for their soldiers, prioritizing military health over the rights and physical well-being of the women involved.

9. Structural Intersections: The Failure of the Widow Remarriage Act

This state-sponsored system of exploitation directly undermined the Raj's self-proclaimed humanitarian efforts, such as the Hindu Widows' Remarriage Act of 1856. While the colonial state claimed credit for legally permitting widows to remarry, the economic realities of the period offered high-caste widows very little actual security.

Widows who chose to remarry often faced severe social ostracism, complete loss of maintenance rights, and forfeiture of their deceased husband's property. Destitute, abandoned, and facing limited economic options, many child and high-caste widows were forced into prostitution near urban centers and military camps to survive. Contemporary records from 1869 indicate that up to 90 percent of registered sex workers in certain urban zones were widows, many from Brahmin communities.

Prominent indigenous reformers, such as Pandita Ramabai, observed this systemic hypocrisy firsthand. Ramabai directly critiqued the colonial administration for allowing vulnerable young women and widows to be pulled into networks of exploitation that ultimately served the British military: "Many a careless official has allowed children to be taken away by people who will turn the boys and girls into slaves and concubines. The Contagious Diseases Act enables people to carry on their evil trade in girls for the benefit of the British soldier."

This dynamic exposes a profound contradiction: the colonial state utilized the rhetoric of the "suffering widow" to validate its moral supremacy over indigenous men, while

simultaneously relying on those same displaced women to sustain its military health infrastructure.

10. Conclusion: The 'Woman Question' as an Imperial Instrument

The British Raj's engagement with the 'Woman Question' reveals a deep contradiction between humanitarian rhetoric and the realities of imperial governance. The colonial state claimed a policy of religious non-interference while simultaneously taking credit for social legislation aimed at improving the status of Indian women. It enacted selective liberalizing laws on a few high-profile issues, while its broader legal codification project, introduction of conjugal restitution remedies, and state-regulated military prostitution often reinforced patriarchal control and heightened vulnerabilities for women.

These policy contradictions stem from a dual objective: the Raj needed to project a narrative of gender equity to validate its moral superiority over the colonized, while simultaneously keeping indigenous society dependent to argue that India remained unfit for self-rule. The 'Woman Question' functioned as a core instrument of cultural domination. By framing indigenous gender roles as evidence of civilizational backwardness, the Evangelical-Utilitarian-Liberal framework provided a powerful, long-lasting moral justification for the continuation of the British Raj.

References

1. Nehru, Jawaharlal. *An Autobiography*. London: John Lane, The Bodley Head, 1936.
2. Imperial Historian Citations; cf. Page 23 of source manuscript transcriptions.
3. Hobson, J.A. *Imperialism: A Study*. New York: James Pott & Company, 1902.
4. Kipling, Rudyard. "The White Man's Burden." *McClure's Magazine*, 1899.
5. Colonial Ideology Gender Studies; cf. Historical Analysis Contexts, Page 24.
6. Grant, Charles. *Observations on the State of Society among the Asiatic Subjects of Great Britain*. London, 1792.
7. Mill, James. *The History of British India*. London: Baldwin, Cradock, and Joy, 1818.
8. Spear, Percival. *The Oxford History of Modern India*. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1965.
9. Philips, C.H. *Historians of India, Pakistan and Ceylon*. London: Oxford University Press, 1961.
10. Mani, Lata. *Contentious Traditions: The Debate on Sati in Colonial India*. Berkeley: University of California Press, 1998.

11. Sarkar, Tanika. Words to Win: The Making of a Modern Autobiography. New Delhi: Kali for Women, 1999.

12. Ballhatchet, Kenneth. Race, Sex and Class Under the Raj: Imperial Attitudes and Policies and Their Critics, 1793–1905. London: Croom Helm, 1980.